

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH  
OVERSIGHT DIVISION

**FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 0804-01  
Bill No.: HB 161  
Subject: Cities, Towns, and Villages; Notary Public; Political Subdivisions  
Type: Original  
Date: February 8, 2013

---

Bill Summary: This proposal specifies that any voluntary annexation must only be notarized and modifies procedures regarding invalidating or challenging a previous annexation.

**FISCAL SUMMARY**

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>Other</u> State Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.  
This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).

☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

<b>ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS</b>			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016
<b>Local Government</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## FISCAL ANALYSIS

### ASSUMPTION

§§ 71.012, 71.014, and 71.015 - Annexation:

Officials from the **Department of Revenue, Department of Natural Resources, Missouri Public Service Commission, Office of Public Counsel, Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, Missouri Tax Commission, Office of State Courts Administrator, City of Columbia, City of Kansas City, and Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to similar legislation from 2012 (HB 1971), officials from the **Department of Transportation** assumed the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

Officials from numerous cities and water and sewer districts did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

**Oversight** assumes there is no direct fiscal impact from this proposed legislation on state or local government funds.

<u>FISCAL IMPACT - State Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>
<u>FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government</u>	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u><b>\$0</b></u>

### FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue  
Department of Economic Development -  
Missouri Public Service Commission  
Office of Public Counsel  
Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration  
Missouri Tax Commission  
Office of State Courts Administrator  
City of Columbia  
Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District  
City of Kansas City

### **Not Responding:**

Numerous Cities  
Numerous Water and Sewer Districts



Ross Strope  
Acting Director  
February 8, 2013